Dear Dave.

9/2/96

Thanks for the "athy Cunningham piece in the "arch Fourth becade. I think it explains why I've not heard from her in so long, why she did not come with those she'd come with the year before when she was at COFA last year, why she did not Send me what I'd asked for, not on this subject, and why she did not ask me to go over what she wrotebefore it was published.

It is fiction, conjecture, itrelevance and utter nonsense. And all that has substance and related to what the writing is supposedly about was published in 1975 in Post Mortem. I should add ignorance because having helped herself to what was in Post Mottem and presenting it as her own work she was ignroant of what is funalmental that is il Post Mortem.

I am not checking Homes' HSCA testimony. I have it on tape and paid Jose attention to it. He did testify that he destroyed those notes. Kathy's nonsense on that or any destriction not being unusual is answered in NEVRY ACAIN! It is strictly forbidden.

Contrary to what mathy writes, there is not only a record of the existence of those notes after the first days, it is a record that identifies where they then were, then being when Humes testified to the WC. They were allgedly in the exhibit that was to have been printed and in the CD I identified by number in Post Mortem, as Specter identified it when he questioned Humes.

When I sought them at the Archives the end of 1966 they were not there. It may have been earlier.

This is, to use her title, her Gross. Misunderstanding. The facts are in Post Nartem and it as enormously more than three facts that are in the proctocol and are not recorded in any known record. They come from those notes.

I've used a highlight or on what you sent and I'll take no more time for it now. I ask you not to spread this ground because I do not went to get involved in any pointless controversy that can be avoided.

I'm surprised at how much is so very wrong in this one article.

This is inevitable when oneyhegins with a theory esther ignored what is known and is relevant or is ignorant of it, as too few have learned after so many years, and all the time and effort that wrnt into it!!!! Move than wasted. Worse than.

Herold